1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 JOHN C. PETERSON, an individual, 4 Plaintiff, Case No. 2:13-cv-00766-GMN-GWF 5 VS. **ORDER** 6 CENTERPOINT ENERGY INC., et al, 7 Defendants. 8 9 On October 20, 2014, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (ECF 10 No. 15) with prejudice. (Order, ECF No. 19). On November 3, 2014, Plaintiff filed a Notice of 11 Appeal. (ECF No. 21). Then on November 12, 2014, the Ninth Circuit entered a Referral 12 Notice, referring this matter back to this Court for the purpose of determining whether the 13 appeal is frivolous and whether in forma pauperis status should be revoked. (Referral Notice, 14 Dkt. # 2); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) ("An appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial 15 court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith."). 16 In dismissing the Second Amended Complaint, the Court noted that this complaint—like 17 the previous two complaints—was incoherent and failed to contain any ascertainable claims. 18 (Order 3:4-4:15, ECF No. 19.) Accordingly, an appeal of the complaint's dismissal is frivolous 19 and in bad faith. See Wilcox v. C.I.R., 848 F.2d 1007, 1009 (9th Cir. 1988) ("An appeal is 20 frivolous if the result is obvious, or the arguments of error are wholly without merit."). 21 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's appeal is frivolous and not in good faith. 22 Therefore, Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is revoked. 23 **DATED** this 13th day of November, 2014. 24 25 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court